UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
V. <u>Jamar Street</u> Defendant	Case No. 1:11-cr-00194-RHB			
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Ref	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact			
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had			
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:			
a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.			
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	volves:			
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2. § 2250			
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed w or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.			
Alternativ	re Findings (A)			
√ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an offense			
for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sunder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	or more is prescribed in:			
	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nd the safety of the community.			
•	re Findings (B)			
✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang				
 · ·	the Reasons for Detention			
	e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing			
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	- document is a ming document of by document documents			
1. The underlying charges defendant faces are very severe.				

2. Defendant has repeated and some recent failures to appear and other compliance issues. 3. Defendant has no employment and a very spotty employment history.

- 4. Defendant has a lengthy substance abuse history.
- 5. Defendant committed new offenses while on probation.
- 6. Defendant placed his two-year-old son at great risk in connection with the instant offense.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	July 26, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge